THE MECHANISM OF THE NORRISH TYPE II REACTION

OF *α*-KETO-ACIDS AND ESTERS

R. Stephen Davidson*and Dean Goodwin

(Department of Chemistry, The City University, Northampton Square, London, ECIV OHB.)

and

Ph. Fornier de Violet

(E.R.A. No. 167 du C.N.R.S., Laboratoire de Chemie-Physique A, Université de Bordeaux 1, 33405 Talence, Cedex, France.)

Summary

Methyl- α -keto-octanoate undergoes a Type II reaction to give pent-l-ene and photophysical measurements show that this and the Type II reactions of lpha-ketoacids occur, contrary to previous claims, from the excited singlet state.

It has been shown that α -keto-decanoic acid undergoes a Type II elimination reaction to give hept-1-ene¹. We now report that α -keto-octanoic acid, its methyl ester and 2-(2-naphthyl)ethylester undergo a similar reaction to give pent-l-ene² (Table). сн₂сн₂сн**₂≺**н R = -H, $-CH_2$ or $-CH_2CH_2Np^*$

These reactions are relatively insensitive to the presence of triplet quenchers such as oxygen and naphthalene. Even with the naphthyl ester, which contains a naphthalene group ideally situated to deactivate the triplet ester by energy transfer, the yield of pent-1-ene is quite high.

TABLE		
YIELDS OF PENT-1-ENE FROM IRRADIATION ^(a) OF		
α-KETO-OCTANOIC ACID AND ESTERS IN BENZENE		
R	Conditions	% Pent-1-ene ^(b)
-н	Argon purged	46
-н	Argon purged(c)	45
-н	Oxygen purged	38
-сн ₃	Argon purged	52
-CH3	Argon purged(c)	49
-CH ₃	Oxygen purged	39
-CH ₂ CH ₂ Np*	Argon purged	40
-CH ₂ CH ₂ Np*	Oxygen purged	32

- (a) Reactant concentration, 5×10^{-2} M; 18hr. irradiation using Black-light lamps (λ max. 350nm)
- (b) Yield determined by g.l.c. and identified by g.l.c. and GC/MS. (c) Naphthalene $[1 \times 10^{-3}M]$ added.

* Np = 2-Naphthyl

That naphthalene quenches the triplet state of alkyl pyruvates has been shown by use of the technique of nanosecond laser flash photolysis. Methyl pyruvate was found to have a triplet-triplet absorption spectrum similar to that of pyruvic acid^{3,4}. The triplet lifetime is concentration dependent $(k_{sq} = 2 \times 10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1})$ and at concentrations of $5 \times 10^{-2} M$ the lifetime was found to be 125 ns. From these lifetime measurements, the presence of oxygen at a concentration of $8 \times 10^{-3} M$ should reduce the yield of pent-1-ene ~20-fold if the triplet ester is responsible for the reaction. Furthermore, laser flash photolysis of 2-(2-naphthyl)ethyl pyruvate showed that the naphthalene group leads to almost total triplet quenching of the ester and that this is attended by the formation of α -keto-octanoate cannot have arisen via the triplet state of the ester.

Recently we showed that the triplet state of pyruvic acid is efficiently quenched by oxygen and by naphthalene³. Since α -keto-octanoic acid gives pent-l-ene in good yield in the presence of oxygen it can be surmised that the triplet state of the acid is not responsible for reaction.

We conclude that the Type II reaction of α -keto-acids and esters occurs from the excited singlet state. This conflicts with that of Evans and Leermakers¹ who showed that cyclohexa-1,3-diene at concentrations > 0.1M quench the Type II reaction of α -keto-decanoic acid. However, it has now been appreciated that the excited singlet states of ketones are quenched by high concentrations of dienes⁵ including cyclohexa-1,3-diene. It has also been shown that α -keto-esters react with alkenes to give [2+2] cycloaddition products^{6,7}, and that the excited singlet state of methyl pyruvate is quenched by cyclohexa-1,3-diene.⁷ It would appear that the quenching observed by Evans and Leermakers¹ was due to quenching of the excited singlet state.

We thank the S.R.C. for a maintenance grant to DG; Miss J.E. Pratt for the synthesis of the naphthyl esters and Mr. C. Whitehead for GC/MS data.

References

- 1. T.R. Evans and P.A. Leermakers, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 1968, 90, 1840.
- 2. M. DeBergalis (Diss.Abstr.Int.B., 1979, 40, 244) has reported ethyl-5-methyl- α -keto-hexanoate giving ethyl pyruvate and suggests that reaction occurs via the excited singlet state.
- 3. R.S. Davidson, D. Goodwin and Ph. Fornier de Violet, Chem. Phys. Letts., in pres
- 4. P.K. Das, M.V. Encinas and J.C. Scaiano, unpublished results. We are grateful to Dr. Scaiano for communicating his results prior to publication.
- 5. N.C. Yang, H.H. Man and S.A. Ballard, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 1971, 93, 4056.
- 6. M. Hara, Y. Odaira and S. Tsutsumi, Tetrahedron Letters, 1967, 2981.
- 7. K. Shima, T. Sawada and H. Yoshinaga, Bull.Chem.Soc., Japan, 1978, 51, 608.

(Received in UK 17 March 1981)